Microsofts interests are compelling personal computer user to use their operating system. This operating system which is compelling me to act in ways that I would otherwise not choose exceeds healthy business. competion is neither fair nor just. Specifically I believe the physically and mentally disabled are marginally included in this revolution of communication information and processing. Developers fear that the predators and reverse engineers await on the margins of one operating system (XP) at the ready to copy product(s) which are too young to defend themselves. In particular I can not use Government protection and resources in a capitalistic society to defend myself unless I can reasonably expect my most basic development assumptions are protected. These basic assumptions ought to have Microsoft preserve and create public Safe Haven operating system components that will for promote software development which would not have to be redesign because the Operating System has changed. Open Platform operating system proponents may find this a compromise. My example is my own product. I continue to struggle to design a product that is open platformed meaning it should work on most operating systmens and within all browsers. My testing has found I must discover software bugs that seem to benefit the interests of the operating systems. I beleive that these software bugs are not intentional but they are so numerous that a manager can work slowly on them and still be rewarded. This is unfair to the public. This Business market is NOT functioning normally! This is not a model of competion with room for a better business to succeed! This current business model is dysfunctional! I think this dysfunction works in following way. Current Law allows the creation of conflict between software applications hardware and operating systems when a competting business begins to spend resource to point out the unfairness and fix the software bugs. T